Seminar 4. Pragmatics

Questions for discussion	Recommended reading
1. Why is pragmatics commonly defined as meaning in use or meaning in context? Dwell on two competing approaches to pragmatics.	Thomas, J. (2013). Meaning in Interaction: an Introduction to Pragmatics: Routledge: London and New York. P. 1–5.
2. Characterize the following levels of meaning: abstract meaning, contextual meaning/utterance meaning. What is <i>force</i> of the utterance?	Thomas, J. (2013). Meaning in Interaction: an Introduction to Pragmatics: Routledge: London and New York, Pp. 1–5. Fromkin, V., Rodman, R., Hyams, N. (2003). An Introduction to language. 7th edition. Thomson: Wadsworth, P. 207.
3. Why is John Langshaw Austin credited with generating interest in pragmatics? Why is he called an 'ordinary language philosopher'?	Thomas, J. (2013). Meaning in Interaction: an Introduction to Pragmatics: Routledge: London and New York, Pp. 28–29.
4. What is Austin's performative hypothesis about? Why did it eventually collapse?	Thomas, J. (2013). Meaning in Interaction: an Introduction to Pragmatics: Routledge: London and New York, Pp. 33–34; 44–47.
5. Prove that a speech act has two meanings: the surface meaning and the intended meaning. Give examples of speech acts.	Gordon, T. (2012). The educator's guide to linguistics. Information Age Publishing, Inc. Charlotte, Pp. 66–76.
6. What is implicature? Explain the difference between conventional and conversational implicatures. Give examples.	Thomas, J. (2013). Meaning in Interaction: an Introduction to Pragmatics: Routledge: London and New York, Pp. 57–61.
7. Explain the mechanisms by which people interpret conversational implicature in terms of Gricean Cooperative principle.	Thomas, J. (2013). Meaning in Interaction: an Introduction to Pragmatics: Routledge: London and New York, Pp. 61–63.
8. Comment on Gricean maxims. What happens when people fail to observe the maxims?	Thomas, J. (2013). Meaning in Interaction: an Introduction to Pragmatics: Routledge: London and New York, Pp. 63–72.
9. Why has the term <i>politeness</i> caused much misunderstanding in modern pragmatics? Name the phenomena that are closely connected to politeness. Prove that <i>deference</i> and <i>register</i> have little connection with pragmatics.	Thomas, J. (2013). Meaning in Interaction: an Introduction to Pragmatics: Routledge: London and New York, Pp. 149–158.

10. Why is it unsafe to equate surface linguistic forms with politeness? Give at least three reasons.	Thomas, J. (2013). Meaning in Interaction: an Introduction to Pragmatics: Routledge: London and New York, Pp. 155–157.
11. Focus on politeness as a pragmatic phenomenon. What is the difference between ambivalence and politeness?	Thomas, J. (2013). Meaning in Interaction: an Introduction to Pragmatics: Routledge: London and New York, Pp. 157–159.
12. Spell out the conversational-maxim approach to politeness. What is Politeness principle? Which maxims explain the relationship between sense and force in human conversation according to Geoffrey Leech?	Thomas, J. (2013). Meaning in Interaction: an Introduction to Pragmatics: Routledge: London and New York, Pp. 159–168. Leech, G. N. Principles of Pragmatics (1983). Longman: London & New York.
12 Small out the face management approach	D 1 134 134 (2015) E 12 12 12 12 12
13. Spell out the face-management approach to politeness. What is Face theory in a nutshell? Who most contributed to it?	Redmond, Mark V. (2015). Face and Politeness Theories. English Technical Reports and White Papers. 2. https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/engl_reports/2 .
to politeness. What is Face theory in a	English Technical Reports and White Papers. 2.
to politeness. What is Face theory in a nutshell? Who most contributed to it? 14. Define the term <i>face</i> as a Face theory element? Dwell upon positive and negative	English Technical Reports and White Papers. 2. https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/engl_reports/2 . Redmond, Mark V. (2015). Face and Politeness Theories. English Technical Reports and White Papers. 2.

Practical tasks

<u>Task 1</u>: Read the dialogue between Hamlet and Polonius. What maxims of conversation does Hamlet violate? Explain.

Polonius: What do you read, my lord?

Hamlet: Words, words, words.

Polonius: What is the matter, my lord?

Hamlet: Between who?

Polonius: I mean, the matter you read, my lord.

Hamlet: Slanders, sir: for the satirical rogue says here that old men have gray beards, that their faces are wrinkled, their eyes purging thick amber and plum-tree gum, and that they have a plentiful lack of wit, together with most weak hams: all which, sir, though I most powerfully and potentially believe, yet I hold it not honesty to have it thus set down; for yourself, sir, should grow old as I am, if like a crab you could go backward.

<u>Task 2</u>: Which utterance does not contain a performative verb?

a. I deny your charge.	c. I sentence you to three years in	e. I resign.
	prison.	
b. I bequeath you my gold watch.	d. I know you.	f. I apologize.

<u>Task 3</u>: Read through the following dialogues. Does Speaker B follow, violate or flout the maxim. Which maxim is it?

1	A: Have you seen my keys?	4	A : Have you seen my box of chocolates?
	B : They're in the kitchen.		B : Chocolate is bad for your health.
2	A: Have you seen my keys?	5	A : I hear you went to the opera last night; how
	B : You left them at work.		was the lead singer?
	[B has no idea where A's keys actually are.]		B : The singer produced a series of sounds
			corresponding closely to the score of an aria
			from 'Rigoletto'.
3	A : Have you seen my box of chocolates? B :	6	A : Do you know where Fred is?
	They're in your room.		B : He's in the kitchen or the living room.

<u>Task 4</u>: Certain types of question—answer jokes or riddles seem to depend for their effect on the reanalysis of a presupposition in the question after the answer is given. For example, in the question *What two things can you never eat before breakfast?*, the phrase two things invites an interpretation that presupposes two "specific things," such as individual food items, as objects of the verb eat. When you hear the answer *Lunch and dinner*, you have to replace the first presupposition with another assuming two "general things," not individual food items, as objects of the verb eat.

Can you identify the reanalyzed presuppositions involved in the following jokes?

1. Q: Why do birds fly south in the winter?

A: Because it's too far to walk.

2. Q: Did you know that in New York someone is knocked down by a car every ten minutes?

A: No, but I imagine he must be getting really tired of it.

3. In a clothing store, a customer asks a salesperson:

Q: Can I try on that dress in the window?

A: Well, maybe it would be better to use the dressing room.

<u>Task 5</u>: Watch the video dedicated to Chapters 1 and 2 of the book "How to do things with words" written by J. L. Austin. The lecture is about the nuts and bolts of Austin's argument. <u>Answer</u> the questions:

- 1. What is the difference between constatives and performatives?
- 2. What conditions are necessary for a performative statement to take place?